

# Turf Invasion by Weedy Grasses

weed-free plots of Merion bluegrass turf used in study of factors favoring invasion by crabgrass and common bermuda

John H. Madison, Jr.

**Irrigation practices** and mowing heights were found to affect invasion of turf by weedy grasses in a two-year study conducted at Davis involving 36 test plots and nine combinations of treatment, replicated four times.

The study was initiated in 1955 on a 60' x 60' area of weed-free Merion bluegrass divided into 10' x 10' plots by ridges for flood irrigation.

Crabgrass and common bermudagrass were chosen as the experimental weeds for the study.

A 5' x 5' frame was set in the middle of each plot to serve as a planting guide. Two 2' plugs of bermudagrass sod were planted in the northwest and in the southeast corners of the frame. In the other two corners, one gram of hulled bermudagrass seed was sown over an area of one square foot. Along the north and south sides of the frame 0.4 gram of crabgrass seed was planted in another one square foot space.

The seed was sown April 27 and the plots were fertilized the following day.

To give the seed time to germinate the plots were kept well watered for three weeks—until May 17—before differential watering was started. Thereafter the plots were irrigated by flooding three times weekly—wet treatment—by flooding and soaking at incipient wilting—dry treatment—and by flooding and soaking at one half the time to incipient wilting—intermediate treatment. This schedule amounted, in general, to watering the intermediate plots about every 9–10 days, and the dry plots every 18–20 days.

The plots had been differentially mowed from the beginning with each plot maintained at one of three heights—1/2", 1", or 2"—by mowing two times a week.

Nine combinations of watering and mowing were replicated four times in the 36 plots. Each year each plot received fertilizer—containing one pound of actual nitrogen per plot—in five applications between March and October until the experiment was terminated in January, 1957.

The count of the crabgrass plants the first year was made too late to give a full picture of the coverage because the plants had rotted back to a crown. In 1956 the plants were counted by various methods. The results were basically the

same whether the number of plants was counted, or the area covered by crabgrass was measured, or other means were used. More plants were counted in early July than survived until October, but the proportions were the same. The table in this column shows the number of plants at the end of the season. Each figure presented is the sum of the plants from the four replications of the same treatment. The plants were counted in an area of 25 square feet, in the middle of each plot. When statistical tests were applied to the data, considerable variation was found to be due to the chance location of the plots in the field.

**Number of Crabgrass Plants Surviving Under Various Treatments**  
Each figure is the sum of the plants in 25 sq. ft. in each of four plots, Nov. 20, 1956.

Mowing height	Irrigation treatment			
	Wet	Intermed.	Dry	Total
1/2" ...	139	120	74	333
1" ...	36	59	15	110
2" ...	0	3	0	3
<b>Total ..</b>	<b>175</b>	<b>182</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>446</b>

The effect of height-of-cut was highly significant, as indicated by the fact that the crabgrass was almost eliminated at a height-of-cut of 2". However, the effect of the various irrigation practices was seasonal. In the early summer—July—the effect of the most frequent irrigation was to cause a significant increase in the number of crabgrass plants present which was probably due to the increased germination of seed with increased moisture. When the mature plants were counted later in the season, irrigation practice showed no appreciable effect on the number of surviving plants. It is not uncommon to find several hundred crabgrass seedlings in a square foot of lawn in June, of which only one or two will survive until August.

The findings of this study indicate that the number of small young plants was a result of both irrigation frequency and height-of-cut, but that the number of plants surviving to maturity and producing seed was principally a result of the height-of-cut.

The relative area bermudagrass occupied in the plots at the end of 1956 increased as water was increased. The

difference is statistically highly significant. However, the differences due to height-of-cut could well be due to field variation.

**Relative Extent of Bermudagrass Invasion Under Various Treatments**

Each figure represents the number of square feet of bermudagrass in a 100 sq. ft. sample taken 25 sq. ft. from each of 4 plots.

Mowing height	Irrigation treatment			
	Wet	Intermed.	Dry	Total
1/2" .....	44	27	23	94
1" .....	38	25	19	82
2" .....	42	34	23	99
<b>Total ....</b>	<b>124</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>275</b>

There was one aspect—density of the turf—of bermudagrass invasion that did not appear in the data and therefore was difficult to evaluate because different figures were obtained when the invasion was measured by different methods. In the plots mowed at 1/2" the bermudagrass formed a solid tight mat. Mowed at 2", the mat was more open and Merion and bermudagrass were intermingled. In the winter, the 1/2" and 1" plots had well defined brown areas of bermudagrass. But at 2", the brown areas were overshadowed by the intermingling green.

John H. Madison, Jr., is Assistant Professor of Landscape Management, University of California, Davis.

The above work was done with the cooperation of Robert M. Hagan, Professor of Irrigation, University of California, Davis.

## FILBERTWORM

Continued from page 10

ures must be relied upon to limit damage caused by the filbertworm. The crop should be harvested as soon as possible after the husks begin to crack and—because the filbertworm is unable to complete its development on dried walnut meats—the crop should be thoroughly dried as soon as harvested.

Arthur H. Retan is Farm Advisor, Butte County, University of California.

George R. Post is Farm Advisor, Sutter County, University of California.

Clarence S. Davis is Extension Specialist in Entomology, University of California, Berkeley.

A. E. Michelbacher is Professor of Entomology, University of California, Berkeley.