

Broccoli field harvested, chopped and ready to be disked near Huron.

Organic matter recycling varies with crops grown

Jeffrey Mitchell Stu Pettygrove Tim Hartz Daniel Munk Donald May Tim O'Neill Frank Menezes John Diener

Central San Joaquin Valley farmers have been working with researchers and consultants to evaluate soil and crop management practices, enhance biologically integrated pest management and facilitate information exchange through the West Side Biologically Integrated Farming Systems (BIFS) Project. As part of this project, the amounts and composition of aboveground biomass in postharvest residues of typical rotational crops of the region were surveyed from 1996 to 1998. Crop residue biomass ranged from 9,560 pounds per acre for corn following grain harvest to 570 pounds per acre for onions. A very large range of organic matter recycling thus results from the various intensive cropping strategies that are currently used in this region.

The West Side of the San Joaquin Valley is one of the most productive agricultural regions of the world. The leading crops of this region include processing tomatoes, cotton, onions, garlic, cantaloupes and lettuce, which have been grown on more than 570,000 acres annually in recent years in western Fresno County alone. West Side farmers produce more than onethird of Fresno County's annual \$3 billion agricultural output and help make it the largest-producing county in the United States.

During the last 30 years, land-use patterns on the West Side have changed considerably. In 1965, over 60% of the acreage in this area was typically planted to wheat, barley and safflower. In the early 1990s, these crops were grown on only about 10% of the area (table 1). Higher-value crops, including a number of vegetables and cotton, are now common in West Side rotations. It has been assumed that this increase in the production of these higher-value crops has led to less organic matter returned to the soil, more aggressive tillage and a possible decline in soil quality. Crop rotations in the West Side region are very dynamic and typically reflect a number of current as well as anticipated economic and agronomic considerations. Decisions concerning what crops to grow on a given field are generally made annually and are based primarily on anticipated revenue as well as on the need to rotate crops to minimize the build up of soil pathogens.

In the fall 1995, the West Side Biologically Integrated Farming Systems (BIFS) Project was initiated with the objectives of monitoring and evaluating on-farm demonstrations of soil and crop management practices designed to maintain soil quality; investigating opportunities for enhancing biologically integrated pest manage-

TABLE 1. Fresno County crop production, 1965-1994

Year	Safflower, barley and wheat	Cotton	Other field crops	Vegetable crops				
1965	352	186	304	41				
1970	325	167	343	52				
1975	338	247	253	122				
1980	219	451	186	94				
1985	132	421	305	155				
1990	52	359	217	230				
1994	53	350	213	230				

Source: Fresno County Department of Agriculture. Agricultural Crop and Livestock Reports

TABLE 2. Common crop rotations of the West Side San Joaquin Valley between Five Points and Huron, based on 1996 BIFS Project farmer survey

Marie Control	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6
Class I soils*	Melon	Garlic	Cotton	Tomato	Melon	Cotton
	Lettuce/lettuce	Tomato	Cotton	Onion	Lettuce/lettuce	Tomato
	Melon	Lettuce/lettuce	Onion	Tomato	Cotton	Tomato
	Broccoli	Tomato	Melon	Garlic	Tomato	Cotton
	Cotton	Tomato	Onion	Cotton	Tomato	Cotton
	Cotton	Tomato	Cotton	Tomato	Cotton	Tomato
Class IIIw6 soils*	Cotton	Wheat	Sugarbeet	Cotton	Wheat	Cotton

*Land Capability Classification. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service. Class I soils have few or no limitations and are suitable for cultivated crops. Class IIIw6 soils may permit cultivation of a narrower range of crops due to drainage and salinity conditions.

ment in the region; and facilitating information exchange among West Side farmers, consultants and researchers. At the beginning of the project, participating farmers indicated that they were concerned about maintaining soil organic matter levels and were increasingly considering additions of compost, manure and cover crops to their rotations.

Soil organic matter is associated with many important processes in soils, including water infiltration, cation storage and nutrient cycling via microbial activity, and is generally considered to favorably affect soil tilth. Current soil organic matter levels in agricultural soils of farms in the West Side BIFS Project typically average 0.83%.

A 1996 survey of these farmer participants revealed a wide variety of rotation patterns that are typically followed throughout the West Side (table 2). To provide information on organic matter that is typically returned to the soil following harvest in West Side rotations, we surveyed the amounts and composition of aboveground biomass in postharvest residues of typical rotational crops of the region from 1996 to 1998.

Sample collection

We collected aboveground postharvest crop residues from either 10.5 ft2 or 105 ft2 quadrats, depending on the general distribution uniformity of residues throughout fields in the West Side region between Five Points and Huron immediately following harvest. In general, at least four samples were collected in each sampled field (table The residues were dried in a forcedair oven at about 140°F (60°C) and weighed prior to being ground in a Wiley mill. We then determined tissue nitrogen (N), carbon (C), phosphorus (P) and potassium (K) using standard analytical procedures at the DANR Analytical Laboratory at UC Davis.

Residue amounts and composition

Crop residue biomass ranged from 9,560 pounds (lb) per acre for corn following grain harvest to 570 lb per acre for onions (table 3). Broccoli, cotton, wheat following grain harvest and safflower residue levels were intermediate at 6,546, 5,220, 4,830 and 4,040 lb per acre, respectively. Vegetable crop residues generally were most variable due to the lower harvest efficiencies of these crops and the fact that market conditions dictate the harvest intensity of these high-value crops. The relatively high variability of residue amounts of a machine-harvested crop such as processing tomato may result from differences in crop maturity at the time of harvest (because of contracted delivery deadlines), which may mean that large amounts of green fruit are left in some fields, particularly for late-season crops. For most tomato fields, however, green fruit seldom make up more than 10% of the total fruit on harvested plants.

Removing different components of a crop at harvest can also affect the quantity of residues (table 3). Following the harvest of grain, for example, wheat residues averaged about 4,810 lb per acre, whereas after removing a wheat crop as green chop in bales, the resulting residue was only 670 lb per acre. Residues for field crops such as corn, wheat and barley in California are slightly higher than residues for these crops grown in other parts of the United States. For example, aboveground corn residues average 7,570 lb per acre and wheat residues average 3,160 lb per acre throughout the United States, compared to 9,560 and 4,810 lb per acre, respectively, in our survey.

There are no previous California studies with which to compare the results of our survey. In 1978, Knutson and Miller published DANR Leaflet 21303, Agricultural Residues (Biomass) in California: Factors Affecting Utilization. The aim of this work, however, was to estimate the amount of agricultural residues that might be converted to products such as animal feed, particle board and energy. The data used in this early study were generally based on estimates of postharvest residue amounts as proportions of total expected crop biomass production, and were not derived from actual field sampling.

Using data collected from our field surveys, we estimated the amount of crop residue biomass returned to the

TABLE 3. Postharvest crop residue biomass returned to the soil and elemental composition of residues from San Joaquin Valley, 1996-98

Crop residue	No. of fields sampled	Total number of samples of crop residue	Residue biomass (mean ± standard error of the mean)	Carbon	Nitrogen	Phosphorus	Potassium
		Later	lb/acre	lb/acre			
Corn following grain harvest	1	10	9,560 ± 560	3,824	57	21	232
Broccoli	2	8	6,546 ± 1,182	2,271	157	19.6	196
Cotton	4	14	5,220 ± 380	2,156	63	9.9	121
Wheat following grain harves	4	12	4,810 ± 590	1,680	82	8.2	103
Sudangrass (short-season cover crop)	1	6	4,470 ± 310				
Sugarbeet	1	9	3,836 ± 577	1,373	42	12	96
Safflower	3	7	2,690 + 195	Order State			
Tomato	4	16	2,880 ± 400	973	52	4.3	57
Seed lettuce	1	10	2,730 ± 700	1,063	41	5.5	139
Lettuce	3	13	1,950 ± 210		66	5.8	111
Barley following green chop	2	7	1,100 ± 50				
Corn following silage harvest	3	17	1,060 ± 70				
Garlic	2	9	930 ± 270	371	14	2.5	12
Wheat following baling	1	4	670 ± 70				
Onion	2	12	570 ± 77				

TABLE 4. Predicted crop residue biomass returned to the soil in hypothetical 6-year crop rotations

Region	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6	Total projected residue biomass
							Ibs per acre
West Side	Cotton	Tomato	Cotton	Tomato	Cotton	Tomato	24,303
West Side	Cotton	Tomato	Garlic	Cotton	Tomato	Garlic	18,058
West Side	Tomato	Garlic	Wheat	Tomato	Onion	Cotton	13,144
West Side	Lettuce/lettuce	Wheat	Garlic	Tomato	Cotton	Wheat	14,258
West Side	Tomato	Garlic	Barley	Tomato	Onion	Tomato	11,238
West Side	Garlic/sudangrass cover crop	Wheat/broccoli	Corn	Cotton	Tomato	Safflower	35,876
West Side*	Tomato/ cover crop	Cotton	Wheat	Cover crop/ tomato	Cover crop/ cotton	Cover crop/corr	44,576
Midwest†	Wheat	Wheat	Wheat	Wheat	Wheat	Wheat	18,978
Midwest	Corn	Soybean	Corn	Soybean	Corn	Soybean	27,132
Midwest	Corn	Corn	Corn	Corn	Corn	Corn	45,444

^{*}Fall/winter cover crops (barley/wheat) estimated at 3,500 lb per acre December-March

soil in several hypothetical 6-year West Side crop rotations (table 4). For comparison, three standard rotations from the midwest United States are also indicated using data from the CRC Handbook Soil Organic Matter in Temperate Agroecosystems. In general, these estimates reveal a considerable range of residue amounts being returned to the soil in these rotations over a 6-year cycle. Straight cottontomato-garlic and cotton-tomato rotations return 18,060 and 24,300 lb dry matter per acre, respectively. Rotations with more vegetables tend to have smaller residue returns. Integrating short-season cover crops during the winter when evapotranspiration demand is typically low in the West Side region and selecting high-residue crops can increase estimated residue

biomass returns to over 44,000 lb per acre. Additional windows of opportunity for cover cropping may exist following crops that are harvested during early summer or midsummer. For example, traditional winter annual cover crops such as vetch, wheat, barley or various medic and clover species may contribute considerably more biomass to West Side rotations.

The elemental composition of crops surveyed here also varied widely (table 3). Biomass carbon returned to the soil ranged from 3,824 lb per acre for corn following grain harvest to 371 lb per acre for garlic. The mineral composition of crops surveyed here also varied considerably and reflects various factors, including crop maturity at harvest (as in lettuce) and effects of differences in soil available nutrients

among fields, particularly with respect to potassium.

These data point to a very large range of organic matter recycling that results from various intensive cropping strategies in this region and may be useful in determining optimal rotation schemes. Although rotation decisions ultimately depend on economic factors, judicious crop rotation may be a means for sustaining soil organic matter, in addition to the deliberate use of amendments such as compost, manure and cover crops. However, the long-term impacts of these amendments on soils in semiarid, irrigated regions such as the San Joaquin Valley are not well characterized. These impacts are the focus of our broader West Side BIFS on-farm monitoring program. The impacts of various till-

[†]Soil organic matter in temperate agroecosystems



age practices on soil organic matter sequestration in this region are also largely unknown, and are a major focus of another component of our current work.

J.P. Mitchell and T.K. Hartz are Specialists, Department of Vegetable Crops, UC Davis; G.S. Pettygrove is Specialist, Department of Land, Air and Water Resources, UC Davis; D.S. Munk and D.M. May are Farm Advisors, UC Cooperative Extension, Fresno County; and F. Menezes is District Conservationist, Fresno Field Office, USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service. J. Diener and T. O'Neill are farmer leaders of the West Side BIFS Project.



Broccoli field harvested and being

■ Grain corn field harvested near Five Points.

Funding for this project was derived from the UC Sustainable Agriculture Research and Education Program's BIFS Program with support by the California Department of Pesticide Regulation and the US Environmental Protection Agency. The authors also thank the UC DANR Analytical Laboratory for a grant that enabled analysis of the elemental composition of residues in this study.

Further reading

Knutson J. Miller Jr. GE. 1982. Agricultural Residues (Biomass) in California: Factors Affecting Utilization. University of California Division of Agricultural Sciences. Leaflet 21303.

Paustian K, Collins HP, Paul EA. 1996. Management controls on soil carbon. In: Paul EA, Paustian K, Elliott ET, Cole CV. (eds.). Soil Organic Matter in Temperate Agroecosystems. Boca Raton, FL:CRC Press. p.15-49.



Lettuce field harvested and disked near **Five Points.**

CALIFORNIA AGRICULTURE ASSOCIATE EDITORS

Animal, Avian, Aquaculture & Veterinary Sciences Jim Cullor Christopher M. Dewees

Kathryn Radke Barbara A. Reed

Economics & Public Policy George Goldman Richard A. Howitt Alvin Sokolow

Food & Nutrition Amy Block Joy **Eunice Williamson** Sheri Zidenberg-Cherr Human & Community Development Linda M. Manton Karen P. Varcoe

Land. Air & Water Sciences Mark Grismer John Letev Henry J. Vaux, Jr.

Natural Resources Daniel W. Anderson Lynn Huntsinger Richard B. Standiford

Pest Management Michael K. Rust Robert Washino

Plant Sciences Jodie S. Holt Lee F. Jackson G. Steven Sibbett http://danr.ucop.edu/calag/ CALAG@ucop.edu PH: 510 987-0044 FAX: 510 465-2659