States Productive Capacity

projections of 1955 levels and patterns of California's production anticipate 650,000 additional acres in crops

Trimble R. Hedges and Warren R. Bailey

This article is the second of a series of reports based on a study of California's agricultural productive capacity, that can be attained by 1955, which was conducted by the California State Committee on Survey of Agricultural Productive Capacity. The Committee included representatives of the University of California, the United States Department of Agriculture, and State agencies.

Important shifts in California acreage from noncrop land to cropland are projected for 1955—principally in changes from close-growing to intertilled crops, and from summer fallow or idle land into crop uses.

The shifts are expected to add some 650,000 acres to the state's 1950 total of

35½ million farm acres.

The purpose of the study upon which this report is based was to make an appraisal of California's agricultural productive capacity—to project what level of farm production is attainable in 1955 under an assumed set of conditions.

The conditions assumed were concerned with the over-all level of economic activity, the specific price relationships directly affecting agriculture, the availability of farm resources, management capacity and policies of farm operators, and the effectiveness of farm research and information programs.

The assumed 1955 price relationships represent certain changes from 1951, which are important in the projections of attainable acreage and yields. The position of cotton may be used as an example. The state average price in 1950 was 44¢ per pound, the same as the preliminary estimate for 1951. This price compares with 34¢, the average for 1947–1950, and a projected price of 38¢ for 1955. It seems evident that this projected 1955 price will not represent as sharp a competitive advantage for cotton, in comparison with alternative crops, as it enjoyed in 1950 and 1951.

The projected 1955 prices of feed grains and alfalfa hay both show important price increases in contrast with the projected decline for cotton prices. In livestock, the major shift in 1955 price relationships is that prices of beef cattle and lambs will be lower relative to hogs than in 1950 or 1951. The prices of chickens, turkeys, eggs, and milk will continue to be relatively favorable.

Shifts in Land Use, Crops

The attainable level of land use for 1955 must be viewed in relation to changes that occurred from 1950 to 1951.

The most important single shift in those years was 31% increase—582,000 acres—in the intertilled crops.

Such a large change in major land use between two consecutive seasons occurs only in response to unusual stimuli, and inevitably creates serious problems of readjustment throughout agriculture. This is true in California. The stimuli were related primarily to cotton, particularly the enforced acreage limitation by the 1950 allotment program. The normal reaction of farmers was to expand acreage in 1951 when there were no controls, to which was added a positive reinforcing encouragement—the sharp price increase for the crop of 1950.

As a result of the increased cotton acreage in 1951 an important fraction was expanded onto land not best suited to cotton; some growers not fully experienced with the crop or improperly equipped got into cotton; and, cotton acreage tended to expand to the limit of available water supply. That is significant because all California cotton is irrigated and on many farms must compete with other crops for part of the water used.

The acreage shifts projected between 1950 and 1955 reflect increased intensity and more complete use of farm land resources. It is expected that the total amount of cropland will increase by 3%—from 10.5 to 10.9 million acres—principally by bringing into cultivation land formerly in noncrop uses.

The other major increase in land use intensity by 1955 is the probable reduction of nearly 22%, or 267,000 acres, in summer fallow. The net result of adding new land and reducing summer fallow will be to increase the land in crops by 650,000 acres, or 7%, between 1950 and 1955. These changes in over-all land use will bring the acreage of close-growing—largely cereal—crops, and hay and pasture closer to 1950 levels without drastically reducing the acreage of intertilled crops.

For convenience in analyzing the acreage shifts crops were considered in four major groups:

1. Raw material, seed, and food crops, include cotton, rice, processing tomatoes,

dry beans, flaxseed, castor beans, and ladino seed.

- 2. Feed grains, as defined here, include barley, wheat, oats, corn, grain sorghums, and grain hay.
- 3. Hay and pasture with the major crops in this group being alfalfa hay and irrigated pasture, chiefly ladino or trefoil, alone or in combination with certain grasses.
- 4. Vegetables, fruits, and other specialty crops which are of dominant importance in California cash farm income.

Between 1950 and 1951, raw material, seed, and food crops increased by 800,000 acres or 44%. Feed grains decreased 421,000 acres or 14%. And, hay and pasture crops decreased 107,000 acres or 5%. The sharp increase in raw material, seed, and food crops, at the expense of both feed grains and hay and pasture, suggests the necessity for readjustments in all three groups. Those readjustments, as reflected in the projected 1955 acreages, are: a cut-back of 150,700 acres—6%—in raw material, seed, and food crops; an increase of 269,000 acres—

Continued on page 15

CALIFORNIA AGRICULTURE

Progress Reports of Agricultural Research, published monthly by the University of California College of Agriculture, Agricultural Experiment Station.

Articles in CALIFORNIA AGRICULTURE may be republished or reprinted provided no endorsement of a commercial product is stated or implied. Please credit: University of California College of Agriculture.

Of California College of Agriculture.

CALIFORNIA AGRICULTURE will be sent free upon request addressed to: Agricultural Publications, University of California College of Agriculture, 22 Giannini Hall, Berkeley 4, California. Please allow about two weeks between your request and the arrival of your first copy.

In order that the information in CALL

In order that the information in CALI-FORNIA AGRICULTURE: may be simplified, it is sometimes necessary to use trade names of products or equipment. No endorsement of named products is intended nor is criticism implied of similar products which are not mentioned.



CAPACITY

Continued from page 2

11%—in feed grains; and, an increase of 328,000 acres—17%—in hay and pasture.

The projected 1955 attainable acreages of these major groups of crops, as compared to 1950 levels, are: 645,076 more acres—36%—of raw material, seed, and food crops; 152,000 fewer acres—5%—of grains; and, 221,000 more acres—11%—of hay and pasture.

Total vegetable acreage increased 9% from 1950 to 1951 while the projected acreage of all vegetables for 1955 is 2% less than 1951. A dominant fact in the production and marketing situation is that the existing acreage of most vegetables could supply larger quantities than the market now takes.

The total acreage of fruit shows little net change, either between 1950 and 1951, or the projections for 1955. The bearing acreage increased less than 1% from 1950 to 1951, and the projected acreage in 1955 would represent less than a 3% increase over 1950, 2% over 1951.

In contrast to minor change in all fruit, some important shifts are indicated among individual fruits. Acreage decreases are projected for apricots—3,000; figs, 1,000; grapefruit, about 1,000; oranges, 3,000; and prunes, 5,000. Increases of about 10,000 acres each are expected for almonds and walnuts; avocados, 6,000; grapes, 15,000; and peaches, 4,000.

Fruits are like vegetables in that the actual volume harvested and marketed may be varied rather widely in any given season, in response to market conditions.

Fruits and vegetables use over half of the fertilizer and comparable quantities of the pesticides in California. They also exert a tremendous demand on labor supplies, in greater proportion than acreage. In recent years, they have come to require specialized machinery such as blowertype sprayers, pruning rigs, and harvesting equipment.

Grazing Land

Open permanent pasture occupies 18½ million acres of California land in farms. An additional 3.3 million acres of farm woodland, out of a total of 4 million, are used in grazing.

A certain amount of grazing is available from other miscellaneous, noncrop land in farms with residue from harvested crops an important segment of the total feed consumed by livestock.

The approximately 65 million acres of land not in farms in California include about 7.7 million acres in national forests, 1.4 million acres in private land managed by the National Forest agency,

Continued on next page

DONATIONS FOR AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH

Gifts to the University of California for research by the College of Agriculture accepted in June, 1952

REPKELEY

BERKELEY
Aggeler & Musser Seed Co
American Chemical Plant Co
American Cyanamid Co
Assoc. Seed Growers, Inc
For use on variety test plots The Best Fertilizers Co
For cotton fertilization studies 1400 lbs. 16-5-0 (Nitro-phos)
W. Atlee Burpee Co
Carbide and Carbon Chemical Corp
Cornelli Seed Co
Corn Industries Research Foundation
Dow Chemical Corp
E. I. du Pont de Nemours & Co., Grasselli Chemicals Dept
Germain Seed Co
For use in variety test plots Hercules Powder Co
400 lbs. of Toxaphene dust 10 gals. Toxaphene 10 gals. Toxaphene
For use in cotton insect control
H. D. Hudson Mfg. Co
Ferry Morse Seed Co
National Canners Association Western Branch
Niagara Chemical Division, Food Machinery & Chemical Corp900 lbs. Aramite For use in Ladino Clover mite investigations
Pacific Toro Company, Inc
Plant Food Corporation
Poultry and Egg National Board
The Rockefeller Foundation\$400.00 To cover cost of consumable supplies to be used in plant nutrition research
Robson Seed Co
Shell Chemical Corp. 10 gals. Shell Dieldrix 15
1 gal. Shell dieldrix 300 lbs. 1½% dieldrin 300 lbs. 1½% dieldrin plus 50% sulfur For experimental use on insect pests
Spreckels Sugar Company
Standard Agricultural Chemicals Inc
Stauffer Chemical Company
For use on fertilizer test plots
Tobacco By-Products and Chemical Corp
For walnut investigations 50 lbs. Black Leaf 40
Cotton insects investigations
Velsicol Corporation



-now ready for distribution-

Single copies of these publications—except the Manuals—or a catalogue of Agricultural Publi-cations may be obtained without charge from the local office of the Farm Advisor or by addressing a request to: Agricultural Publications, 22 Giannini Hall, University of California, College of Agriculture, Berkeley 4, California.

CONTROLLING RATS AND MICE, by Tracy I. Storer, Cir. 410. Tells how to identify the more common rodents; gives directions for applying control measures in homes, farms, warehouses, and other places where food may be stored. Replaces Ext. Cir. 142.

APRICOT CULTURE IN CALIFOR-NIA, by C. O. Hesse, Cir. 412. Please indicate sections wanted, when ordering. Section I-Apricot Raising As a Business. Section II—Apricot Varieties. Section III—Getting Into Business. Section IV—Management of the Bearing Or-chard. Section V—Diseases and Pests. Section VI-Harvesting and Handling.

PROTECTING CITRUS GROVES FROM FROST: COSTS AND BENE-FITS TO GROWERS, by R. L. Adams. Bul. 730. Gives the results of an economic study of the costs of operation of orchard heaters and wind machines in southern California citrus orchards.

ECONOMIC FACTORS AFFECTING THE SUGAR BEET INDUSTRY, by J. M. Tinley. Cir. 413. Analyzes and describes the main world and local conditions currently shaping the future of California's sugar beet industry.

CAPACITY

Continued from preceding page

6.5 million acres in privately owned range, and, roughly 6.5 million acres for public domain-type grazing. Except the 6.5 million acres of privately owned range most of the grazing land is under control of some public agency. These agencies, in general, have rather definite programs and policies covering utilization and farmers can do little to change the present level of production.

No appreciable changes in acreage of open permanent pasture and range in farms, or of nonfarm land used for grazing are projected for 1955.

To be continued



Penalty for private use to avoid payment of postage, \$300

University of California College

DONATIONS FOR AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH

Continued from preceding page

F. H. Woodruff & Sons..... For use in test plots Yoder Brothers For experimental work on control of Verticillium disease on chrysanthemum by soil fumigation DAVIS The American Potash Institute Inc..... 2,000 lbs. Muriate of Potash, Granular 1,000 lbs. Sulphate of Potash For use in experimental fertilizer trials on various field crops Chas. Bach Company.....\$200.00 **Barley Research Donation** California Crop Improvement Assn......\$10,000.00 For expansion of research on seed production California Fig Institute..... For use in connection with work concerning dried figs Mr. and Mrs. John Dahl Bausch and Lomb Binocular Research Microscope For use in the further development and future improvement of range grasses and field crops For use in research program Oronite Chemical Company..... For use in feeding trials with swine and rats A. Pinther Grain.....\$100.00 For barley research San Joaquin Cotton Oil Co., Div. Anderson Clayton & Co... Cotton planter and Fertilizer Distributor To aid research work on cotton fertilization tests For use in teaching and research West Side Bean Growers Research Committee.....\$1,735.00 For research on lima beans LOS ANGELES Aggeler & Musser Seed Co..... Hudson Weed burner To demonstrate the burning method of eliminating weeds in row crops Asgrow Texas Company...... 2 oz. Buffel Grass Seed For turf culture research California Planting Cotton Seed Distributors..... For research on the physiology of abscission in horticultural plants For turf culture research For research

Velsicol Corporation
RIVERSIDE
Alrose Chemical Co
California Avocado Society
Phillips Petroleum Co
Shell Chemical Corporation
Willits-Newcomb Nursery

Trimble R. Hedges is Associate Professor of Agricultural Economics, University of California College of Agriculture, Berkeley.

Warren R. Bailey is Agricultural Economist, Bureau of Agricultural Economics, U.S.D.A., Berkeley.